arXiv:1305.1404v2 [math-ph] 17 May 2013

POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITENESS AND GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF SOLUTIONS TO THE GROSS-PITAEVSKII HIERARCHY

KENNETH TALIAFERRO AND THOMAS CHEN

Abstract. We study the cubic defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and prove global well-posedness of solutions. In particular, we prove that positive semidefiniteness is preserved over time; so far, this property has been known for special cases, including solutions obtained from the BBGKY hierarchy of an N-body Schrödinger system as $N \to \infty$. Our approach uses a generalization of the latter in an auxiliary step, and removes a condition on the regularity of initial data used in [10] to derive the GP hierarchy from a BBGKY hierarchy as $N \to \infty$.

1. Introduction

The Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) hierarchy emerges in the limit as $N \to \infty$, via the associated BBGKY hierarchy, from an N-body Schrödinger equation describing an interacting Bose gas under Gross-Pitaevskii scaling. Factorized solutions of the GP hierarchy are defined by the nonlinear Hartree equation (NLH) or the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS). Thereby, one obtains a rigorous derivation of those nonlinear dispersive PDE's, describing the mean field dynamics of a particle in a Bose-Einstein condensate. In this paper, we extend previous results proven in [10, 9] on the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the cubic GP hierarchy in dimension 3: We prove that solutions to the cubic defocusing GP hierarchy remain positive semidefinite over time (this condition is assumed for the main result in [9] to hold), and we remove a condition on the regularity of initial data used in [10] to derive the GP hierarchy from the BBGKY hierarchy as $N \to \infty$.

The derivation of mean field theories via the BBGKY hierarchy was first presented by Spohn in [38]. In a very influential series of works, Erdös, Schlein and Yau have, in this framework, derived the cubic NLS and NLH in $\mathbb{R}^3$, [18, 19, 20], for a very broad class of systems. This problem is closely related to the study of Bose-Einstein condensation, where fundamental progress was made in recent years in the works of Lieb, Seiringer, and Yngvason, [2, 31, 32, 33]. An alternative approach to the proof of uniqueness for solutions of GP hierarchies was introduced by Klainerman and Machedon in [30], using techniques from nonlinear dispersive PDE's. This inspired a series of works by various authors using the Klainerman-Machedon framework [30], on the derivation of NLH and NLS, including Kirkpatrick-Schlein-Staffilani [29], T.Chen-Pavlović [6, 10], X.Chen-Holmer [16], and on the Cauchy problem for GP hierarchies, including T.Chen-Pavlović and C-P-Tzirakis [7, 8, 9], and X.Chen [13, 14, 15]. Furthermore, the rate of convergence to mean field equations has been investigated by Rodnianski and Schlein in [36], based on the approach of Hepp [28], which led to many further developments, including works of

Grillakis-Machedon and G-M-Margetis [24, 27, 25, 26], and Lee-Li-Schlein [5]. For related works and other approaches to the derivation of NLH and NLS, see also [1, 3, 17, 21, 22, 23, 34]. A few more details are addressed in the discussion below.

1.1. **The Gross-Pitaevkii limit for Bose gases.**

Before we state our results, we give a brief summary of the derivation of the cubic NLS in $\mathbb{R}^d$ based on the BBGKY hierarchy for a gas of interacting bosons proceeds along the following lines, following [18, 19, 20].

1.1.1. *From N-body Schrödinger to BBGKY hierarchy.* We model N bosons in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a wave function $\Phi_N \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ that satisfies the N-body Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \Phi_N = H_N \Phi_N, \tag{1.1}$$

where the Hamiltonian H_N is the self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ given by

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^{N}(-\Delta_{x_j}) + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N} V_N(x_i - x_j). \tag{1.2}$$

The potential V_N satisfies $V_N(x) = N^{d\beta}V(N^\beta x)$, where $V \ge 0$ is spherically symmetric and sufficiently regular. The parameter β typically has values in $(0,1]$ (see the discussion below equation (1.11)).

According to Bose-Einstein statistics, Φ_N is invariant under the permutation of particle variables,

$$\Phi_N(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, ..., x_{\pi(N)}) = \Phi_N(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) \qquad \forall \pi \in S_N\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where S_N is the N-th symmetric group. We note that permutation symmetry (1.3) is preserved by the N-body Schrödinger equation (1.1).

Since the N-body Schrödinger equation (1.1) is linear and H_N is self-adjoint, the global well-posedness of solutions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ follows immediately. For $1 \le k \le N$, one defines the density matrices

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N}(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k) := \int \Phi_N(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}_{N-k})\overline{\Phi_N(t, \underline{x}'_k, \underline{x}_{N-k})}\, d\underline{x}_{N-k},$$

where $(\underline{x}_k, \underline{x}_{N-k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{dk} \times \mathbb{R}^{d(N-k)}$.

It follows immediately from the definition that the property of *admissibility* holds,

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N} = \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1}(\gamma^{(k+1)}_{\Phi_N}), \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1\,, \tag{1.4}$$

for $1 \le k \le N-1$, and that $\mathrm{Tr}\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N} = \|\Phi_N\|^2_{L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{dN})} = 1$ for all N, and all $k = 1, 2, ..., N$.

Moreover, the operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kd})$ with integral kernel $\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N}$ is positive semidefinite.

It follows from the N-body Schrödinger equation (1.1) that γ_{Φ_N} satisfies the Heisenberg equation

$$i\partial_t \gamma_{\Phi_N}(t) = [H_N, \gamma_{\Phi_N}(t)]\,, \tag{1.5}$$

which is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \gamma_{\Phi_N}(t, \underline{x}_N, \underline{x}'_N) = &- (\Delta_{\underline{x}_N} - \Delta_{\underline{x}'_N})\gamma_{\Phi_N}(t, \underline{x}_N, \underline{x}'_N) \\ &+ \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N}[V_N(x_i - x_j) - V_N(x'_i - x'_j)]\gamma_{\Phi_N}(t, \underline{x}_N, \underline{x}'_N)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Accordingly, the k-particle marginals satisfy the BBGKY hierarchy

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') &= -(\Delta_{\underline{x}_k}-\Delta_{\underline{x}_k'})\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N}(t,\underline{x}_k,\underline{x}_k') \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le k}[V_N(x_i-x_j)-V_N(x_i'-x_j')]\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') \quad (1.7) \\ &\quad + \frac{N-k}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\int dx_{k+1}[V_N(x_i-x_{k+1})-V_N(x_i'-x_{k+1})] \quad (1.8) \\ &\qquad\qquad \gamma^{(k+1)}_{\Phi_N}(t,\underline{x}_k,x_{k+1};\underline{x}_k',x_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\underline{x}_k} := \sum_{j=1}^{k}\Delta_{x_j}$, and similarly for $\Delta_{\underline{x}_k'}$. We note that the number of terms in (1.7) is $O(\frac{k^2}{N})\to 0$, and the number of terms in (1.8) is $\frac{k(N-k)}{N}\to k$ as $N\to\infty$. Accordingly, for fixed k, (1.7) disappears in the limit $N\to\infty$ described below, while (1.8) survives.

1.1.2. *Derivation of the GP from the BBGKY hierarchy.* In [18, 19, 20], the authors consider asymptotically factorized initial data, and prove convergence in the weak-* topology on the space of marginal density matrices. By definition, asymptotically factorized initial data is approximately of the form

$$\gamma_0^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\phi_0(x_j)\overline{\phi_0(x_j')}\,, \tag{1.9}$$

where $\phi_0\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{dk})$. In this case, they extract convergent subsequences $\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_{N_j}}\to\gamma^{(k)}$ as $j\to\infty$, for $k\in\mathbb{N}$, and show that those satisfy the the infinite limiting hierarchy

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t\gamma^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') &= -\,(\Delta_{\underline{x}_k}-\Delta_{\underline{x}_k'})\gamma^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') \qquad (1.10)\\ &\quad +\,\kappa_0\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(B_{j,k+1}\gamma^{k+1}\right)(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k')\,, \end{aligned}$$

which is referred to as the *Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) hierarchy.* Here,

$$\begin{aligned} &(B_{j,k+1}\gamma^{k+1})(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') \\ &:= \int dx_{k+1}dx_{k+1}'[\delta(x_j-x_{k+1})\delta(x_j-x_{k+1}')-\delta(x_j'-x_{k+1})\delta(x_j'-x_{k+1}')] \\ &\qquad\qquad \gamma^{(k+1)}(t,\underline{x}_k,x_{k+1};\underline{x}_k',x_{k+1}')\,. \qquad (1.11) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient κ_0 is the *scattering length* if $\beta=1$ (see [19, 31] for the definition), and $\kappa_0=\int V(x)dx$ if $\beta<1$ (corresponding to the Born approximation of the scattering length). For $\beta<1$, the interaction term is obtained from the weak limit $V_N(x)\rightharpoonup\kappa_0\delta(x)$ in (1.8) as $N\to\infty$. The proof for the case $\beta=1$ is much more difficult, and the derivation of the scattering length in this context is a breakthrough result obtained in [19, 18]. For notational convenience, we will mostly set $\kappa_0=1$ in the sequel.

We emphasize the following key properties of solutions of the GP hierarchy that hold in the context of [19, 18]:

- Solutions of the GP hierarchy that are obtained from a limit of asymptotically factorizing solutions to the BBGKY hierarchy (as in [18, 19]) inherit from the latter *global* in t existence of the solutions, and positive semidefiniteness.
- It preserves the property of admissibility,
$$\gamma^{(k)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1}(\gamma^{(k+1)}), \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{N}\,, \tag{1.12}$$
which is inherited from the system at finite N. See Proposition A.1 in the appendix.
- In [18, 19, 20], solutions of the GP hierarchy are studied in spaces of k-particle marginals $\{\gamma^{(k)} \,|\, \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^1} < \infty\}$ with norms
$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha} := \mathrm{Tr}(|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}|)\,, \tag{1.13}$$
where
$$S^{(k,\alpha)} := \prod_{j=1}^{k}(1-\Delta_{x_j})^{\alpha/2}(1-\Delta_{x_j'})^{\alpha/2}\,. \tag{1.14}$$

1.1.3. *NLS from factorized solutions of GP.* In the case of factorized initial data (1.9), one can easily verify that
$$\gamma^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k') = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\phi(t,x_j)\overline{\phi(t,x_j')}$$
is a solution (referred to as a factorized solution) of the GP hierarchy (1.10) with $\kappa_0 = 1$, if $\phi(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ solves the defocusing cubic NLS,
$$i\partial_t\phi = -\Delta_x\phi + |\phi|^2\phi\,, \tag{1.15}$$
for $t \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and $\phi(0) = \phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is in this sense that the NLS emerges as a mean field limit in the context of Bose-Einstein condensation.

1.1.4. *Uniqueness of solutions of GP hierarchies.* The question of whether solutions to the GP hierarchy are unique is more difficult to answer. In [18, 19, 20], Erdös, Schlein and Yau proved uniqueness in the space $\{\gamma^{(k)} \,|\, \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^1} < \infty\}$ using Feynman graph expansion methods.

Subsequently, Klainerman and Machedon [30] found an alternative method for proving uniqueness in a space of density matrices defined by the Hilbert-Schmidt type Sobolev norms
$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{H^1_k} := \|S^{(k,1)}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k}\times\mathbb{R}^{3k})} < \infty\,. \tag{1.16}$$
While this is a different (strictly larger) space of marginal density matrices than the one considered by Erdös, Schlein, and Yau, [19, 18], the authors of [30] impose an additional a priori condition on space-time norms of the form
$$\|B_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}H^1_k} < C^k\,, \tag{1.17}$$
for some arbitrary but finite C independent of k.

The authors of [30] proved uniqueness of solutions of the GP hierarchy (1.10) in $d = 3$ using certain space-time bounds on density matrices and a reformulation of a combinatorial result in [19, 18] into a "board game" argument. This approach lead to a significant reduction of the complexity of the problem.

In the case $d = 2$, Kirkpatrick, Schlein, and Staffilani were able to show that the a priori spacetime bound (1.17) is satisfied for solutions of the cubic GP hierarchy derived from an N-body Schroedinger equation. The proof of their result made use of conservation of energy in the original N-body Schrödinger system, and related a priori H^1-bounds for the BBGKY hierarchy in the limit $N \to \infty$ derived in [18, 19], combined with a generalized Sobolev inequality for density matrices.

1.2. **Cauchy problem for GP hierarchies.**

The work at hand is closely related to the works of Chen-Pavlović on the well-posedness of the GP hierarchy in [6, 7, 9, 8, 10], and C-P-Tzirakis in [11, 12].

In [7], spaces $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi$ of sequences of marginal density matrices $\Gamma \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dk} \times \mathbb{R}^{dk})$ were introduced, for $\xi > 0$, endowed with the norm

$$\| \Gamma \|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \xi^k \, \| \gamma^{(k)} \|_{H^\alpha} \, , \tag{1.18}$$

where

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{H^\alpha} := \|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dk}\times\mathbb{R}^{dk})} \tag{1.19}$$

is the norm (1.16) considered in [30]. If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi$, then ξ^{-1} is an upper bound on the typical H^α-energy per particle, [7]. Those spaces are equivalent to those considered by Klainerman and Machedon in [30].

The GP hierarchy can be compactly written in the form (setting $\kappa_0 = 1$)

$$i\partial_t \Gamma + \widehat{\Delta}_\pm \Gamma = B\Gamma \, , \tag{1.20}$$

with $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0$, where the components of $\widehat{\Delta}\Gamma$ and $B\Gamma$ are termwise defined via (1.10), see Section 2 for details. As in [7], we refer to a GP hierarchy as being *cubic*, *quintic*, *focusing*, or *defocusing*, according to the type of NLS obtained from factorized solutions.

Local well-posedness was proven in [7] for the regularities of solutions

$$\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}(d,p) := \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2}, \infty) & \text{if } d = 1 \\ (\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2(p-1)}, \infty) & \text{if } d \geq 2 \text{ and } (d,p) \neq (3,2) \\ \big[1, \infty) & \text{if } (d,p) = (3,2) \, , \end{cases} \tag{1.21}$$

where $p = 2$ for the cubic, and $p = 4$ for the quintic GP hierarchy. The result is obtained from a Picard fixed point argument, without any requirement on factorization. The parameter $\xi > 0$ is determined by the initial condition, and it sets the energy scale of the given Cauchy problem.

In [11], a conserved energy functional $E_1(\Gamma(t)) = E_1(\Gamma_0)$ was identified, corresponding to the average energy per particle, together with virial identities on the level of GP hierarchies. This allowed to prove Glassey-type blowup for L^2-critical and supercritical focusing GP hierarchies. Subsequently, in [9], an infinite family of multiplicative energy functionals was discovered that is conserved under time evolution. These conserved energy made possible to prove global wellposedness for H^1 subcritical defocusing GP hierarchies, and for L^2 subcritical focusing GP hierarchies, under the assumption that solutions remain positive semidefinite over time.

In [8], the *existence* of solutions to the GP hierarchy was proven in the Klainerman-Machedon type spaces *without* assuming the condition (1.17) used for the uniqueness. The proof employs a suitable truncation of the GP hierarchy (for which existence of solutions can be easily obtained) and control of the limit where the truncation is removed. Generalizing this truncation method in [10], Chen and Pavlović proved that solutions of the N-body Schrödinger equation converge to the solution of the GP hierarchy in the Klainerman-Machedon type spaces used in [8, 9, 7], for values $\beta \in (0, 1/4)$. While no factorization of solutions was assumed, the specific case of factorized solutions yielded a new derivation of the cubic, defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in dimension $d = 3$.

Recently, a derivation of the GP hierarchy in Klainerman-Machedon type spaces was given by X. Chen and J. Holmer in [16], for values $\beta \in (0, 2/3)$. Assuming that $\sup_N \|\gamma_N^{(k)}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R})H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3k}\times\mathbb{R}^{3k})} < C^k$ is satisfied by the solution to the N-body Schrödinger system for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with C independent of k, they prove that solutions to the BBGKY hierarchy tend to solutions of the GP hierarchy satisfying the Klainerman-Machedon condition (1.17). See also [15].

1.3. **Main results of this paper.** In our work, we prove that solutions to the cubic defocusing GP hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^3$ remain positive semidefinite over time if the initial data are positive semidefinite. This is the last ingredient needed for proving global well-posedness in $\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$. Indeed, global well-posedness was proven in [9] under the assumption that solutions remain positive semidefinite over time.

As part of our proof, we *truncate* the initial data for the GP hierarchy after the N-th entry, thus obtaining $\Gamma_{0,N}$. We then introduce an *auxiliary* N-body Schrödinger system for which we show that solutions to the corresponding N-BBGKY hierarchy with initial data $\Gamma_{0,N}$ approach those of the GP hierarchy in $C([0,T], \mathcal{H}^1_\xi)$ as $N \to \infty$ when the intial data is in $\mathfrak{H}^1_\xi$, see (2.6). In [10], this convergence is obtained with initial data in $\mathcal{H}^{1+\delta}_{\xi'}$, for an arbitrary, small $\delta > 0$ extra regularity. In particular, we do not require that our initial density matrices are rank 1 operators.

2. Definition of the model

In this section, we introduce the mathematical model studied in this paper. Most notations and definitions are adopted from [7], where we refer for additional motivations and details.

We consider the N-boson Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \Phi_N = \Big(-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1\le j<\ell\le N} V_N(x_j - x_\ell) \Big) \Phi_N \tag{2.1}$$

on $L^2_{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$, with initial data $\Phi_N(0) = \Phi_{0,N} \in L^2_{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$, where $L^2_{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ is the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ that is invariant under permutations (1.3) of the N particle variables. Here, we will take $V_N(x) = N^{3\beta} V(N^\beta x)$ for some $0 < \beta < 1/4$, and $V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ is spherically symmetric and nonnegative. We note that we only use the N-body Schrödinger equation as an *auxiliary tool* to prove a result about the GP hierarchy and do not benefit from considering potentials V outside of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Let

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{\Phi_N}(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k) := \int \Phi_N(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}_{N-k}) \overline{\Phi_N(t, \underline{x}'_k, \underline{x}_{N-k})}\, d\underline{x}_{N-k}.$$

We consider the spaces of sequences of marginal density matrices $\Gamma = \{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k})$ introduced in [7]. For brevity, we will write $\underline{x}_k := (x_1, \cdots, x_k)$, and similarly, $\underline{x}'_k := (x'_1, \cdots, x'_k)$.

We call Γ *symmetric* if $\gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(k)}(x_{\pi(1)}, ..., x_{\pi(k)}; x'_{\pi'(1)}, ..., x'_{\pi'(k)}) = \gamma^{(k)}(x_1, ..., x_k; x'_1, ..., x'_k) \qquad \text{and} \\ \gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k; \underline{x}'_k) = \overline{\gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}'_k; \underline{x}_k)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\pi, \pi' \in S_k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We say Γ is *admissible* if $\gamma^{(k)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}$, that is,

$$\gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k; \underline{x}'_k) = \int dx_{k+1}\, \gamma^{(k+1)}(\underline{x}_k, x_{k+1}; \underline{x}'_k, x_{k+1}) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We call Γ *positive semidefinite* if the operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k})$ with integral kernel $\gamma^{(k)}$ is positive semidefinite for all k.

Let $0 < \xi < 1$. We define

$$\mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha := \Big\{ \text{symmetric } \Gamma \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k}) \,\Big|\, \|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha} < \infty \Big\} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi^k \|\, \gamma^{(k)} \,\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k})} \,,$$

with

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{H^\alpha} := \|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k})} \tag{2.5}$$

where $S^{(k,\alpha)} := \prod_{j=1}^{k} (1 - \Delta_{x_j})^{\alpha/2} (1 - \Delta_{x'_j})^{\alpha/2}$.

We also make use of the the spaces

$$\mathfrak{H}_\xi^\alpha := \Big\{ \text{symmetric } \Gamma \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k}) \,\Big|\, \|\Gamma\|_{\mathfrak{H}_\xi^\alpha} < \infty \Big\} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\|\Gamma\|_{\mathfrak{H}_\xi^\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi^k \|\, \gamma^{(k)} \,\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k})} \,,$$

with

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha} := \mathrm{Tr}(|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}|)$$

that correspond to the spaces of solutions studied in [18, 19].

2.1. **The GP hierarchy.**

We adopt the necessary notations and definitions for the GP hierarchy from [7]. The cubic defocusing GP hierarchy is given by

$$i\partial_t \gamma^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} [-\Delta_{x_j}, \gamma^{(k)}] + \kappa_0 B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} \tag{2.7}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $\kappa_0 := \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} > 0$ and

$$B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} = B^+_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} - B^-_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} \,, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$B^+_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} B^+_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$B^-_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} B^-_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}, \tag{2.10}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(B^+_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\right)(t, x_1, \dots, x_k; x'_1, \dots, x'_k)\\ &\quad = \int dx_{k+1}dx'_{k+1}\\ &\qquad \delta(x_j - x_{k+1})\delta(x_j - x'_{k+1})\gamma^{(k+1)}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}; x'_1, \dots, x'_{k+1}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(B^-_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\right)(t, x_1, \dots, x_k; x'_1, \dots, x'_k)\\ &\quad = \int dx_{k+1}dx'_{k+1}\\ &\qquad \delta(x'_j - x_{k+1})\delta(x'_j - x'_{k+1})\gamma^{(k+1)}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}; x'_1, \dots, x'_{k+1}).\end{aligned}$$

As stated in the introductory section, we point out that for factorized initial data,

$$\gamma^{(k)}(0; \underline{x}_k; \underline{x}'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \phi_0(x_j)\,\overline{\phi_0(x'_j)}\,, \tag{2.11}$$

the corresponding solutions of the GP hierarchy remain factorized,

$$\gamma^{(k)}(t, x_1, \dots, x_k; x'_1, \dots, x'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \phi(t, x_j)\,\bar{\phi}(t, x'_j)\,. \tag{2.12}$$

if the corresponding 1-particle wave function satisfies the defocusing cubic NLS

$$i\partial_t\phi = -\Delta\phi + \kappa_0|\phi|^2\phi\,.$$

The GP hierarchy can be rewritten in the following compact manner:

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t\Gamma + \widehat{\Delta}_\pm\Gamma &= \kappa_0\, B\Gamma\\ \Gamma(0) &= \Gamma_0\,, \end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\widehat{\Delta}_\pm\Gamma := (\,\Delta^{(k)}_\pm\gamma^{(k)}\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,, \qquad \text{with } \Delta^{(k)}_\pm = \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\Delta_{x_j} - \Delta_{x'_j}\right)\,,$$

and

$$B\Gamma := (\,B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,. \tag{2.14}$$

We will also use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} B^+\Gamma &:= (\,B^+_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}},\\ B^-\Gamma &:= (\,B^-_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We define the free evolution operator $U(t)$ by

$$(U(t)\Gamma)^{(k)} = U^{(k)}(t)\gamma^{(k)},$$

where

$$U^{(k)}(t)\gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k) = e^{it\Delta_{\underline{x}_k}} e^{-it\Delta_{\underline{x}'_k}} \gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k)$$

holds for the k-th component.

2.2. **The BBGKY hierarchy.** A similar compact notation for the cubic defocusing BBGKY hierarchy can be introduced as follows, [10]. We consider the cubic defocusing BBGKY hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \gamma_N^{(k)}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} [-\Delta_{x_j}, \gamma_N^{(k)}(t)] + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1\le j<k} [V_N(x_j - x_k), \gamma_N^{(k)}(t)] \\ &\quad + \frac{(N-k)}{N} \sum_{1\le j\le k} \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1}[V_N(x_j - x_{k+1}), \gamma_N^{(k+1)}(t)], \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$, where we recall that $V_N(x) = N^{3\beta}V(N^\beta x)$ for $0 < \beta < 1/4$, and $V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ spherically symmetric and nonnegative. We extend this finite hierarchy trivially to an infinite hierarchy by adding the terms $\gamma_N^{(k)} = 0$ for $k > N$. This will allow us to treat solutions of the BBGKY hierarchy on the same footing as solutions to the GP hierarchy.

We next introduce the following compact notation for the BBGKY hierarchy.

$$i\partial_t \gamma_N^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} [-\Delta_{x_j}, \gamma_N^{(k)}] + (B_N\Gamma_N)^{(k)} \tag{2.16}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, we have $\gamma_N^{(k)} = 0$ for $k > N$, and we define

$$(B_N\Gamma_N)^{(k)} := \begin{cases} B_{N;k+1}^{main}\gamma_N^{(k+1)} + B_{N;k}^{error}\gamma_N^{(k)} & \text{if } k \le N \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } k > N. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

The interaction terms on the right hand side are defined by

$$B_{N;k+1}^{main}\gamma_N^{(k+1)} = B_{N;k+1}^{+,main}\gamma_N^{(k+1)} - B_{N;k+1}^{-,main}\gamma_N^{(k+1)}, \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$B_{N;k}^{error}\gamma_N^{(k)} = B_{N;k}^{+,error}\gamma_N^{(k)} - B_{N;k}^{-,error}\gamma_N^{(k)}, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$B_{N;k+1}^{\pm,main}\gamma_N^{(k+1)} := \frac{N-k}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{N;j;k+1}^{\pm,main}\gamma_N^{(k+1)}, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$B_{N;k}^{\pm,error}\gamma_N^{(k)} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i<j}^{k} B_{N;i,j;k}^{\pm,error}\gamma_N^{(k)}, \tag{2.21}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(B^{+,main}_{N;j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}_N\Big)(t,x_1,\dots,x_k;x'_1,\dots,x'_k)\\&=\int dx_{k+1}V_N(x_j-x_{k+1})\gamma^{(k+1)}_N(t,x_1,\dots,x_k,x_{k+1};x'_1,\dots,x'_k,x_{k+1})\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(B^{+,error}_{N;i,j;k}\gamma^{(k)}_N\Big)(t,x_1,\dots,x_k;x'_1,\dots,x'_k)\\&=V_N(x_i-x_j)\gamma^{(k)}(t,x_1,\dots,x_k;x'_1,\dots,x'_k)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(B^{-,main}_{N;j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}_N\right)(t,x_1,\dots,x_k;x'_1,\dots,x'_k)\\&\quad=\int dx_{k+1}V_N(x'_j-x_{k+1})\gamma^{(k+1)}_N(t,x_1,\dots,x_k,x_{k+1};x'_1,\dots,x'_k,x_{k+1}).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(B^{-,error}_{N;i,j;k}\gamma^{(k)}_N\right)(t,x_1,\dots,x_k;x'_1,\dots,x'_k)\\&\quad=V_N(x'_i-x'_j)\gamma^{(k)}(t,x_1,\dots,x_k;x'_1,\dots,x'_k)\,.\end{aligned}$$

This notation has the advantage that we can treat the BBGKY hierarchy and the GP hierarchy on the same footing. We remark that in all of the above definitions, we have that $B^{\pm,main}_{N;k}$, $B^{\pm,error}_{N;k}$, etc. are defined to be given by multiplication with zero for $k>N$.

Indeed, we can write the BBGKY hierarchy compactly in the form

$$\begin{aligned}i\partial_t\Gamma_N+\widehat{\Delta}_\pm\Gamma_N&=B_N\Gamma_N\\\Gamma_N(0)&\in\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi\,,\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

where

$$\widehat{\Delta}_\pm\Gamma_N:=(\,\Delta^{(k)}_\pm\gamma^{(k)}_N\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,,\qquad\text{with }\Delta^{(k)}_\pm=\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\Delta_{x_j}-\Delta_{x'_j}\right)\,,$$

and

$$B_N\Gamma_N:=(\,B_{N;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}_N\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,.\tag{2.25}$$

In addition, we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}B^+_N\Gamma_N&:=(\,B^+_{N;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}_N\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\\B^-_N\Gamma_N&:=(\,B^-_{N;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}_N\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\end{aligned}$$

which will be convenient.

2.3. **Higher Order Energy Functionals.** As in [9], we define the higher order energy functionals

$$\langle K^{(m)}\rangle_{\Gamma(t)}:=\mathrm{Tr}_{1,k_p+1,2k_p+1,\dots,(m-1)k_p+1}(K^{(m)}\gamma^{(mk_p)}(t))\tag{2.26}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} k_p &:= 2 \\ K_\ell &:= \frac{1}{2}(1-\Delta_{x_\ell})\mathrm{Tr}_{\ell+1} + \frac{1}{4}B^+_{\ell;\ell+1} \\ K^{(m)} &:= K_1 K_{k_p+1} \cdots K_{(m-1)k_p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that in [9], it is shown that these higher order energy functionals are conserved:

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that* $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{H}^1_\xi$ *is symmetric, admissible, and solves the GP hierarchy. Then, for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$*, the higher order energy functionals* (2.26) *are bounded and conserved, i.e.* $\partial_t \langle K^{(m)} \rangle_{\Gamma(t)} = 0$.

3. Main Theorems and Proof Strategy

In this section, we summarize the main results of this paper. For $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{W}^\alpha_\xi(I) := \{\Gamma \in C(I, \mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi) \,|\, B^+\Gamma, B^-\Gamma \in L^2_{loc}(I, \mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi)\},$$

the space of local in time solutions of the GP hierarchy, with $t \in I$, following [7].

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}$ *is positive semidefinite, admissible, and satisfies* $\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma_0^{(1)} = 1$*. Then, for* $0 < \xi' < 1$ *and* ξ_1 *satisfying* (3.1)*, there is a unique global solution* $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}^1_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})$ *to the cubic defocusing GP hierarchy* (2.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with initial data* Γ_0*. Moreover,* $\Gamma(t)$ *is positive semidefinite and satisfies*

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_1}} \leq \|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$*.*

To prove Theorem 3.1 above, we will first prove Theorem 3.2 below and then use energy conservation and an induction argument as in [9].

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that* $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}$ *is positive semidefinite, admissible, and satisfies* $\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma_0^{(1)} = 1$*. Then, for* $0 < \xi' < 1$ *and* ξ *satisfying* (3.1)*, and for* $0 < T < T_0(\xi)$ *(see* (3.3)*), there is a unique solution* $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}^1_\xi([0,T])$ *to the cubic defocusing GP hierarchy* (2.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with initial data* Γ_0*. Moreover,* $\Gamma(t)$ *is positive semidefinite for* $t \in [0,T]$*.*

For the statement of these main theorem, we are using the following constants. Let $b_1 > 0$ be sufficiently small that Lemma D.2 is satisfied for all K, N such that $K \leq b_1 \log N$, and let $b_0 \in (0, b_1)$. Throughout this paper, we will require that, given $\xi' > 0$, the real constants ξ and ξ_1 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \xi < \eta \min\left\{\frac{1}{\xi'}\, e^{-4\beta/b_0}, e^{-12\beta/b_0}\right\} & \text{and} \\ 0 < \xi_1 < \theta^3 \xi < \theta^6 \xi', \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\theta := \min\{\eta, (1+\frac{2}{5}C_{Sob})^{-2/5}\}$; the constant $\eta > 0$ is defined in Lemma D.2, and $C_{Sob} > 0$ is the constant in the trace Sobolev inequality

$$\Big(\int dx |f(x,x)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{Sob}\Big(\int dx_1\, dx_2\, \big|\langle\nabla_{x_1}\rangle\langle\nabla_{x_2}\rangle f(x_1,x_2)\big|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.2}$$

for $x_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, see [9]. This will ensure that ξ_1 and ξ are small enough so that the results of both [10] and [9] hold.

For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define

$$T_0(\nu) := \nu^2/c_0, \tag{3.3}$$

where the constant $c_0 > 0$ is defined in Lemma D.1.

3.1. **Summary of the proof strategy for Theorem 3.2.**

For local existence and uniqueness of Γ, see [7] and Proposition B.3. The new result here is the positive semidefiniteness of Γ, which is necessary in order to prove Theorem 3.1. The proof strategy will be to truncate the initial data by letting $\Gamma_{0,N} = \{\gamma_N^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^N$ be the first N components of Γ_0. Then we will evolve $\Gamma_{0,N}$ with the BBGKY hierarchy to obtain $\Gamma_N(t)$. Once we show that $\Gamma_N(t)$ is positive semidefinite, and that $\Gamma_N(t) \to \Gamma(t)$ as $N \to \infty$, it will follow that $\Gamma(t)$ is positive semidefinite.

3.2. **Convergence $\Gamma_N \to \Gamma$.**

Most of our work is devoted to proving that the solution Γ_N of the N-BBGKY hierarchy converges to the solution Γ of the GP hierarchy in the sense that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N - \Gamma\|_{L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$P_K\Gamma_N := (\gamma^{(1)}, ..., \gamma^{(K)}, 0, 0, ...)$$

and

$$b_0 \log N \leq K(N) \leq b_1 \log N$$

for suitable constants $b_0, b_1 > 0$.

To prove (3.4), we proceed as in [10], but make changes in order to weaken the hypotheses, which is necessary for our argument to work.

In [10], it is shown that if the inital data Γ_0 is in $\mathcal{H}^{1+\delta}_{\xi'}$, and if Γ_N are solutions to the N-BBGKY hierarchy such that

$$\Gamma_0 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \Gamma_{0,N} \in \mathcal{H}^{1+\delta}_{\xi'}$$

for some $\delta > 0$, and $\gamma_{0,N}^{(N)}$ is a rank one operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$, then (3.4) holds.

In our work, we take the inital data Γ_0 to be in the space $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}$, and don't require the extra δ amount of regularity. This is necessary for proving global well-posedness because energy conservation only provides a bound on the $\mathfrak{H}^\alpha_{\xi'}$ norm of the solution for $\alpha \leq 1$. Moreover, we do not require $\gamma_{0,N}^{(N)}$ to be rank 1.

To achieve convergence, we take the constant β in the BBGKY hierarchy to be in $(0, 1/4)$ as in [10], but note that by using the method developed in a recent paper by X. Chen and J. Holmer [16], β can be taken to be in the range $(0, 2/3)$.

4. Proof of Local Postive Semidefiniteness of GP Hierarchy solutions

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.2.

4.1. **Positive Semidefiniteness of BBGKY Hierarchy solutions.**

Our main result on the positive semidefiniteness of solutions to the GP hierarchy uses the following property of solutions to the BBGKY hierarchy.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that* $\xi' > 0$ *and that* $\Gamma_{0,N} = \{\gamma_{0,N}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{N} \in \mathcal{H}_{\xi'}^{1}$ *is admissible and positive semidefinite. Then the solution* $\Gamma_N(t)$ *to the BBGKY hierarchy* (2.15) *is postive semidefinite for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since $\gamma_{0,N}^{(N)}$ is symmetric and Hilbert-Schmidt, it defines a compact self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k})$. Thus, the spectral theorem allows us to write

$$\gamma_{0,N}^{(N)}(\underline{x}_N, \underline{x}'_N) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \psi_{0,j}^{(N)}(\underline{x}_N) \overline{\psi_{0,j}^{(N)}(\underline{x}'_N)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{\psi_{0,j}^{(N)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$. $\lambda_j \geq 0$ by the positive semidefiniteness of the the initial condiation $\gamma_{0,N}^{(N)}$. Then, by the linearity of the BBGKY hierarchy and its equivalence to the N-body Schrödinger equation (2.1), the unique evolution in $C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k} \times \mathbb{R}^{3k}))$ of (4.1) under the BBGKY hierarchy is given by

$$\gamma_{N}^{(N)}(t, \underline{x}_N, \underline{x}'_N) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \psi_{j}^{(N)}(t, \underline{x}_N) \overline{\psi_{j}^{(N)}(t, \underline{x}'_N)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where ψ_j^N solves the N-body Schrödinger equation (2.1) with initial data $\psi_{0,j}^{(N)}$. (4.2) is a weighted sum of orthogonal projections, and hence positive semidefinite for each fixed t. It now follows from the definition (2.3) of $\gamma_N^{(k)}(t)$ that $\gamma_N^{(k)}(t)$ is positive semidefinite. □

4.2. **Local Well-Posedness of the BBGKY Hierarchy in $\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{1}$.**

Taking $\delta = 0$ in Lemma 4.1 of [10] gives us local well-posedness of the K-truncated N-BBGKY hierarchy:

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $K < b_1 \log N$, *where the constant* $b_1 > 0$ *is defined as in Lemma 4.1 in* [10]. *Suppose that* $\Gamma_{0,N}^{K} = P_{\leq K}\Gamma_{0,N} = \{\gamma_{0,N}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{K} \in \mathcal{H}_{\xi'}^{1}$ *is admissible and satisfies* $\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma_{0,\mathrm{N}}^{(1)} = 1$. *Then, for* $0 < \xi' < 1$ *and* ξ *satisfying* (3.1), *and for* $0 < T < T_0(\xi)$ *(see* (3.3)*), there exists a unique solution* $\Gamma_N^K \in L_{t\in I}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{1}$ *of the BBGKY hierarchy* (2.15) *for* $I = [0, T]$ *such that* $B_N\Gamma_N^K \in L_{t\in I}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{1}$. *Moreover,*

$$\|\Gamma_N^K\|_{L_{t\in I}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{1}} \leq C_0(T, \xi, \xi')\, \|\Gamma_{0,N}^K\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\xi'}^{1}} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\|B_N\Gamma_N^K\|_{L_{t\in I}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{1}} \leq C_0(T, \xi, \xi')\, \|\Gamma_{0,N}^K\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\xi'}^{1}} \tag{4.4}$$

hold. The constant $C_0 = C_0(T, \xi, \xi')$ *is independent of* N.

Furthermore, $(\Gamma_N^K)^{(k)} = 0$ *for all* $K < k \leq N$, *and* $t \in I$.

4.3. **From (K,N)-BBGKY to K-Truncated GP hierarchy.** In this section, we show that solutions to the (K,N)-BBGKY hierarchy approach those of the K-Truncated GP hierarchy as $N \to \infty$.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}$ is admissible and satisfies $\operatorname{Tr}\gamma_0^{(1)} = 1$. Let $\Gamma^K \in \{\Gamma \in L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi | B\Gamma \in L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi\}$ be the solution of the GP hierarchy* (2.7) *with truncated initial data $\Gamma_0^K = P_{\leq K}\Gamma_0$ constructed in* [8]*, where $0 < \xi' < 1$ and ξ satisfy* (3.1)*, and $0 < T < T_0(\xi)$ (see* (3.3)*). Let Γ_N^K solve the (K,N)-BBGKY hierarchy* (2.15) *with the same initial data $\Gamma_{0,N}^K := P_{\leq K}\Gamma_0$. Let*

$$K(N) \leq b_1 \log N \tag{4.5}$$

as in Lemma 4.2. Then,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \| \Gamma_N^{K(N)} - \Gamma^{K(N)} \|_{L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \| B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)} \; - \; B\Gamma^{K(N)} \|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. In [8], the authors constructed a solution Γ^K of the full GP hierarchy with truncated initial data, $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0^K \in \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}$, satisfying the following: for an arbitrary fixed K, Γ^K satisfies the GP-hierarchy in integral representation,

$$\Gamma^K(t) = U(t)\Gamma_0^K + i\int_0^t U(t-s)\, B\Gamma^K(s)\, ds\,. \tag{4.8}$$

and, in particular, $(\Gamma^K)^{(k)}(t) = 0$ for all $k > K$.

Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_N\Gamma_N^K - B\Gamma^K \\
&= B_N U(t)\Gamma_{0,N}^K - BU(t)\Gamma_0^K \\
&\quad + i\int_0^t \big(B_N U(t-s) B_N \Gamma_N^K - BU(t-s)B\Gamma^K \big)(s) ds \\
&= (B_N - B)U(t)\Gamma_{0,N}^K + BU(t)(\Gamma_{0,N}^K - \Gamma_0^K) \\
&\quad + i\int_0^t \big(B_N - B\big) U(t-s) B\Gamma^K(s)\, ds \\
&\quad + i\int_0^t B_N U(t-s) \big(B_N\Gamma_N^K - B\Gamma^K \big)(s)\, ds\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Here, we observe that we can apply Lemma D.2 with

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_N^K := B_N\Gamma_N^K - B\Gamma^K \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_N^K := &(B_N - B)U(t)\Gamma_{0,N}^K + BU(t)(\Gamma_{0,N}^K - \Gamma_0^K) \\
&+ i\int_0^t \big(B_N - B\big) U(t-s) B\Gamma^K(s)\, ds\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Given ξ', we introduce parameters ξ, ξ'', ξ''' satisfying

$$\xi \; < \; \theta\, \xi'' \; < \; \theta^2\, \xi''' \; < \; \theta^3 \xi' \tag{4.12}$$

where the constant θ is defined as in (3.1), so that $0 < \theta \leq \eta$, where η is defined as in Lemma D.2. Accordingly, Lemma D.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|B_N\Gamma_N^K - B\Gamma^K\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\leq C_0(T,\xi,\xi'')\Big(\,\|BU(t)(\Gamma_{0,N}^K - \Gamma_0^K)\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}} + R_1(N) + R_2(N)\Big) \\
&\leq C_1(T,\xi,\xi',\xi'')\Big(\,\|\Gamma_{0,N}^K - \Gamma_0^K\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}} + R_1(N) + R_2(N)\Big)\,, \qquad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma A.1 in [10] to pass to the last line. Here,

$$R_1(N) := \|(B_N - B)U(t)\Gamma_{0,N}^K\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}} \qquad (4.14)$$

and

$$R_2(N) := \Big\| \int_0^t \big(\,B_N - B\big)U(t-s)B\Gamma^K(s)\,ds\,\Big\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}\,. \qquad (4.15)$$

Next, we consider the limit $N\to\infty$ with $K(N)$ as given in (4.5).

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N\to\infty}\|\Gamma_{0,N}^{K(N)} - \Gamma_0^{K(N)}\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}} &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\|\,P_{\leq K(N)}\,(\,\Gamma_{0,N} - \Gamma_0\,)\,\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}} \\
&\leq \lim_{N\to\infty}\|\,\Gamma_{0,N} - \Gamma_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}} \\
&= 0. \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 below, we have that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} R_1(N) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} R_2(N) = 0.$$

Thus (4.13) → 0 as $N\to\infty$, and hence the limit (4.7) holds. To prove (4.6), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(t) - \Gamma^{K(N)}(t) \\
&= U(t)\left(\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(0) - \Gamma^{K(N)}(0)\right) + i\int_0^t U(t-s)\left(B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(s) - B\Gamma^{K(N)}(s)\right)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, for $0<t<T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(t) - \Gamma^{K(N)}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\leq \|U(t)\left(\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(0) + \Gamma^{K(N)}(0)\right)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\qquad\qquad + t^{1/2}\|U(t-s)\left(B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(s) - B\Gamma^{K(N)}(s)\right)\|_{L^2_{s\in[0,t]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&= \|\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(0) + \Gamma^{K(N)}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} + t^{1/2}\|B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)} - B\Gamma^{K(N)}\|_{L^2_{[0,t]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\leq \|\Gamma_N^{K(N)}(0) + \Gamma^{K(N)}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} + T^{1/2}\|B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)} - B\Gamma^{K(N)}\|_{L^2_{[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \qquad (4.17) \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } N\to\infty \text{ by (4.7)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the last line (4.17) is independent of t, the result (4.6) follows. □

Lemma 4.4. *Under the same assumptions as in Proposition 4.3,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|(B_N-B)U(t)\,\Gamma_{0,N}^{K(N)}\right\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}=0. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. We recall that for $g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}$ of the form $g(x)=f(x,x)$ for some Schwartz class fuction $f:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}$, one has

$$\widehat{g}(\xi)=\int\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,\eta)\,d\eta. \tag{4.19}$$

We note that the Fourier transform of $\left(U^{(k+1)}(t)\gamma_0^{(k+1)}\right)(\underline{x}_{k+1},\underline{x}'_{k+1})$ with respect to the variables $(t,\underline{x}_{k+1},\underline{x}'_{k+1})$ is given by

$$\delta(\tau+|\underline{\xi}_{k+1}|^2-|\underline{\xi}'_{k+1}|^2)\widehat{\gamma}_0^{(k+1)}(\underline{\xi}_{k+1},\underline{\xi}'_{k+1}). \tag{4.20}$$

Recall that $B^{+,main}_{N,1,k+1}U(t)(\gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)})$ is given by

$$\int V_N(x_1-x_{k+1})\gamma_N^{(k+1)}(t,x_1,...,x_k,x_{k+1};x'_1,...,x'_k,x_{k+1})dx_{k+1}$$

and hence its Fourier transform with respect to the variables $(t,\underline{\mathrm{x}}_k,\underline{\mathrm{x}}'_k)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int e^{-ix_{k+1}u_1}\widehat{V}_N(u_1)*_{u_1}(F\gamma_N^{(k+1)})(\tau,u_1,...,u_k,x_{k+1};u'_1,...,u'_k,x_{k+1})\,dx_{k+1}\\
&=\int\int e^{-ix_{k+1}\eta}\widehat{V}_N(\eta)(F\gamma_N^{(k+1)})(\tau,u_1-\eta,u_2,...,u_k,x_{k+1};u'_1,...,u'_k,x_{k+1})\,d\eta\,dx_{k+1}\\
&=\int\int\widehat{V}_N(\eta)\widehat{\gamma}_N^{(k+1)}(\tau,u_1-\eta,u_2,...,u_k,\eta-\nu;u'_1,...,u'_k,\nu)\,d\nu\,d\eta\qquad\text{(by (4.19))}\\
&=\int\int\widehat{V}_N(\eta+\nu)\widehat{\gamma}_N^{(k+1)}(\tau,u_1-\eta-\nu,u_2,...,u_k,\eta;u'_1,...,u'_k,\nu)\,d\nu\,d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

where we substituted $\eta\to\eta+\nu$. Thus, the above equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\int\int\widehat{V}_N(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1})\\
&\qquad\widehat{\gamma}_N^{(k+1)}(\tau,u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1},u_2,...,u_k,u_{k+1};u'_1,...,u'_k,u'_{k+1})\,du'_{k+1}\,du_{k+1}\\
&=\int\int\widehat{V}_N(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1})\delta(\cdots)\\
&\qquad\widehat{\gamma}_{0,N}^{(k+1)}(u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1},u_2,...,u_k,u_{k+1};\underline{u}'_{k+1})\,du'_{k+1}\,du_{k+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where the operator F is the Fourier transform with respect to the variables $(t,\underline{\mathrm{x}}_k,\underline{\mathrm{x}}'_k)$ and

$$\delta(...):=\delta(\tau+|u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1}|^2+|\underline{u}_{k+1}|^2-|u_1|^2-|\underline{u}'_{k+1}|^2).$$

Equation (4.20) was used to pass to the last line (4.21). Similarly, the Fourier transform of $B^+_{k+1}U(t)(\gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)})$ with respect to the variables $(t,\underline{\mathrm{x}}_k,\underline{\mathrm{x}}'_k)$ is given by

$$\int\int\delta(...)\widehat{\gamma}_{0,N}^{(k+1)}(u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1},u_2,...,u_{k+1},\underline{u}'_{k+1})du_{k+1}du'_{k+1}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(B^{+,main}_{N;1;k+1} - B^{+}_{1;k+1})U(t)\gamma^{(k+1)}_{0,N}\|^2_{L^2_t H^1}\\
&= \int\int\int \prod_{j=1}^{k}\langle u_j\rangle^2 \prod_{j=1}^{k}\langle u'_j\rangle^2 \Big(\int\int (1-\widehat{V}_N(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}))\delta(...)\\
&\qquad \widehat{\gamma}^{(k+1)}_{0,N}(u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1},u_2,...,u_k,u_{k+1};\underline{u}'_{k+1})\,du'_{k+1}\,du_{k+1}\Big)^2 d\underline{u}_k\,d\underline{u}'_k\,d\tau\\
&\le \int\int\int J(\tau,\underline{u}_k,\underline{u}'_k)\int\int \delta(...)\langle u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1}\rangle^2\langle u_{k+1}\rangle^2\langle u'_{k+1}\rangle^2\\
&\qquad \prod_{j=2}^{k}\langle u_j\rangle^2 \prod_{j'=1}^{k}\langle u'_j\rangle^2 |1-\widehat{V}_N(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1})|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad |\widehat{\gamma}^{(k+1)}_{0,N}(u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1},u_2,...,u_k,u_{k+1};\underline{u}'_{k+1})|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad du'_{k+1}\,du_{k+1}\,d\underline{u}_k\,d\underline{u}'_k\,d\tau \qquad (4.22)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$J(\tau,\underline{u}_k,\underline{u}'_k) := \int\int \frac{\delta(...)\langle u_1\rangle^2}{\langle u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1}\rangle\langle u_{k+1}\rangle^2\langle u'_{k+1}\rangle^2}\,du_{k+1}du'_{k+1}$$

and $J(\tau,\underline{u}_k,\underline{u}'_k)$ is bounded uniformly in $\tau,\underline{u}_k,\underline{u}'_k$, see Proposition 2.1 of [30].

Let δ satisfy $0<\delta<\beta$. Recall that $\widehat{V}_N(u)=\widehat{V}(N^{-\beta}u)$. The integral (4.22) can now be separated into the regions $\{|u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}|<N^\delta\}$ and $\{|u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}|\ge N^\delta\}$.

The portion of the integral (4.22) over $\{|u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}|<N^\delta\}$ is bounded by

$$C_V N^{4(\delta-\beta)}\|\gamma^{(k+1)}_{0,N}\|^2_{H^1} \qquad (4.23)$$

because $\nabla\widehat{V}(0)=0$ and $\widehat{V}\in C^2$, so by Taylor's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{|u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}|<N^\delta} |1-\widehat{V}_N(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1})|^2\\
&= \sup_{|u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}|<N^\delta} |1-\widehat{V}(N^{-\beta}(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}))|^2\\
&\le \sup_{|u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}|<N^\delta} C_V(N^{-\beta}(u_{k+1}+u'_{k+1}))^4\\
&\le C_V N^{4(\delta-\beta)},
\end{aligned}$$

where C_V is the L^∞ norm of the second derivative of V.

The portion of the integral (4.22) over $\{|u_{k+1} + u'_{k+1}| \geq N^{\delta}\}$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a_{k,N}^2 \\
&:= \int\int\int J(\tau, \underline{u}_k, \underline{u}'_k) \int_{|u_{k+1}| \geq N^{\delta}} \int \delta(...) \langle u_1 - u_{k+1} - u'_{k+1} \rangle^2 \\
&\qquad \langle u_{k+1} \rangle^2 \langle u'_{k+1} \rangle^2 \prod_{j=2}^{k} \langle u_j \rangle^2 \prod_{j'=1}^{k} \langle u'_j \rangle^2 (1 + \|\widehat{V}\|_{\infty})^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \left| \widehat{\gamma}_{0,N}^{(k+1)}(u_1 - u_{k+1} - u'_{k+1}, u_2, ..., u_k, u_{k+1}; \underline{u}'_{k+1}) \right|^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad du'_{k+1}\, du_{k+1}\, d\underline{u}_k\, d\underline{u}'_k\, d\tau \qquad (4.24) \\
&\leq C \|\gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)}\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&= C \|\gamma_0^{(k+1)}\|_{H^1}^2. \qquad (4.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now ready to bound the desired qauntity (4.18) in the statement of the lemma.

Let $\Omega_{k,N} = \{|u_{k+1} + u'_{k+1}| < N^{\delta}\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(B_N^+ - B^+) U(t) \Gamma_{0,N}^K\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}} - \underbrace{\|B_N^{+,error} U(t) \Gamma_{0,N}^K\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}}_{\to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty \text{ by Proposition A.2 in [10]}} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\xi'')^k \|(B^{+,main}_{N;j;k+1} - B^+_{j,k+1}) U^{(k+1)}(t) \gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} H^1} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} k (\xi'')^k \|(B^{+,main}_{N;1;k+1} - B^+_{1;k+1}) U^{(k+1)}(t) \gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} H^1} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} k (\xi')^k (\xi''/\xi')^k \|(B^{+,main}_{N;1;k+1} - B^+_{1;k+1}) U^{(k+1)}(t) \gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} H^1} \\
&\leq \left(\sup_k k (\xi''/\xi')^k \right) \Bigg(\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\xi')^k \|(B^{+,main}_{N;1;k+1} - B^+_{1;k+1}) U^{(k+1)}(t) \gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} H^1(\Omega_{k,N})} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\xi')^k \|(B^{+,main}_{N;1;k+1} - B^+_{1;k+1}) U^{(k+1)}(t) \gamma_{0,N}^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_{t\in\mathbb{R}} H^1(\Omega^c_{k,N})} \Bigg) \\
&\leq \left(\sup_k k (\xi''/\xi')^k \right) \left(C_V (1 + \|\widehat{V}\|_{\infty}) \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\xi')^k N^{2(\delta - \beta)} \|\gamma_{0,N}^{k+1}\|_{H^1} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\xi')^k a_{k,N} \right), \\
&\qquad\qquad (4.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (4.23) and (4.25) were used to pass to the last line (4.26).

Now, for $(k,N)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{a_{k,N}}^{\,2}\\
&:=\int\int\int J(\tau,\underline{u}_k,\underline{u}'_k)\int_{|u_{k+1}|\geq N^{\delta}}\int\delta(\ldots)\langle u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1}\rangle^2\langle u_{k+1}\rangle^2\langle u'_{k+1}\rangle^2\\
&\qquad\qquad\prod_{j=2}^{k}\langle u_j\rangle^2\prod_{j'=1}^{k}\langle u'_j\rangle^2(1+\|\widehat{V}\|_\infty)^2\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left|\widehat{\gamma}_0^{(k+1)}(u_1-u_{k+1}-u'_{k+1},u_2,\ldots,u_k,u_{k+1};\underline{u}'_{k+1})\right|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad du'_{k+1}\,du_{k+1}\,d\underline{u}_k\,d\underline{u}'_k\,d\tau,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

and observe that $\widetilde{a_{k,N}}=a_{k,N}$ (as defined in (4.24)), for $k\leq N$, because $\gamma_{0,N}^{(k)}=\gamma_0^{(k)}$ for $k\leq N$. Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.26)&\leq\left(\sup_k k(\xi''/\xi')^k\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\left(C_V(1+\|\widehat{V}\|_\infty)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\xi')^kN^{2(\delta-\beta)}\|\gamma_0^{(k+1)}\|_{H^1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\xi')^ka_{k,N}\right)\\
&\leq\left(\sup_k k(\xi''/\xi')^k\right)\left(C_V(1+\|\widehat{V}\|_\infty)N^{2(\delta-\beta)}\|\Gamma_0^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\xi')^ka_{k,N}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

It follows from the definition (4.27) of $a_{k,N}^2$ that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\xi')^ka_{k,N}\leq C\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}}$ and that, for fixed k, $a_{k,N}\searrow 0$ monotonically as $N\to\infty$. This is because $a_{k,N}^2$ is an integral where the integrand is independent of N and the region of integration shrinks as N grows. Thus, by the monotone convergence theorem, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\xi')^ka_{k,N}\searrow 0$ as $N\to\infty$. Therefore (4.28) $\to 0$ as $N\to\infty$. ☐

Lemma 4.5. *Under the same assumptions as in Proposition 4.3,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|\int_0^t(B_N-B)U(t-s)B\Gamma^K(s)\,ds\right\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}=0.$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\int_0^t(B_N-B)U(t-s)B\Gamma^K(s)\,ds\right\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}\\
&\leq\int_0^T\left\|(B_N-B)U(t-s)B\Gamma^K(s)\right\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 above, the integral above goes to zero as $N\to\infty$ provided that $\|U(t-s)B\Gamma^K(s)\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}$ is uniformly bounded in N. See [8] for a proof of the boundedness of $\|U(t-s)B\Gamma^K(s)\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi''}}$. ☐

4.4. **Control of Γ_N and Γ_N^K as $N\to\infty$.** To begin with, we prove the following main estimate for solutions to the N-body Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that ϕ solves the N-body Schrödinger equation* (2.1) *with* $0 < \beta < 1$ *and* $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$*. Then ϕ satisfies*

$$\|R^{(k)}\phi(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|R^{(k)}\phi(0)\|_{L^2} e^{2Ck^2N^{4\beta-1}t} + \frac{3N}{2}(e^{2Ck^2N^{4\beta-1}t} - 1).$$

Proof. We first recall the following elementary bound. Suppose that $y : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisifes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dt} &\leq ay + b \\ y(0) &= y_0 \end{aligned}$$

for constants $a, b, y_0 > 0$. Then

$$y(t) \leq y_0\, e^{at} + \frac{b}{a}\,(e^{at} - 1) \tag{4.29}$$

follows immediately.

Since ϕ solves the N-body Schrödinger equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}^2 &= 2\Re\langle R^{(k)}\phi, R^{(k)}\tfrac{1}{i}H_N\phi\rangle \\ &= -2i\Re\langle R^{(k)}\phi, [R^{(k)}, W_{N,k}]\phi\rangle \\ &\leq 2\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}\|[R^{(k)}, W_{N,k}]\phi\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$W_{N,k} := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq k<j\leq N} V_N(x_i - x_j) + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} V_N(x_i - x_j)$$

is the part of H_N that doesn't commute with $R^{(k)}$. On the other hand,

$$\partial_t\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}\partial_t\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.31}$$

Combining (4.30) and (4.31) and dividing by $\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\|R^k\phi\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\,[R^k, W_{N,k}]\phi\,\|_2 \\ &\leq \left\| \left[R^{(k)}, \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq k<j\leq N} V_N(x_i - x_j)\right]\phi \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\qquad + \left\| \left[R^{(k)}, \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} V_N(x_i - x_j)\right]\phi \right\|_{L^2} \qquad (4.32) \\ &\leq C\left[\frac{N-k}{N}kN^{4\beta} + \frac{k^2}{N}(N^{5\beta} + N^{4\beta})\right]\|\phi\|_{L^2} + C\frac{2k^2}{N}N^{4\beta}\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2} \qquad (4.33) \\ &\leq 3Ck^2N^{4\beta}\|\phi\|_{L^2} + 2Ck^2N^{4\beta-1}\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma C.1, Lemma C.2, and the definition $V_N(x) := N^{3\beta}\,V(N^\beta x)$ were used to pass from (4.32) to (4.33) above. It now follows from (4.29) and the inequality $0 < \beta < 1$ that

$$\|R^{(k)}\phi(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|R^{(k)}\phi(0)\|_{L^2} e^{2Ck^2N^{4\beta-1}t} + \frac{3N}{2}(e^{2Ck^2N^{4\beta-1}t} - 1). \qquad \square$$

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose $T > 0$, $b_1 > 0$, and $0 < \beta < 1/4$. Then, for N sufficiently large, under the same assumptions as in Lemma 4.6, we have*

$$\|R^{(k)}\phi\|_{L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \leq 2\|R^{(k)}\phi(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} + 6Ck^2N^{4\beta}T$$

for all $k < b_1 \log N$.

Proof. Use Lemma 4.6 and the fact that $(e^x - 1) < 2x$ for $0 < x < 1$. □

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that $b_1 > b_0 > 0$, $b_1 \log(N) \geq K(N) \geq b_0 \log(N)$, and that $\xi > 0$ satisfies*

$$\xi < \eta \min\left\{\frac{1}{C}\, e^{-4\beta/b_0}, e^{-12\beta/b_0}\right\}, \tag{4.34}$$

where

$$\operatorname{Tr} S^{(k,1)}\gamma_N^{(K)}(0) < C^K. \tag{4.35}$$

Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)} - P_{\leq K(N)-1}B_N\Gamma_N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} = 0.$$

Proof. From Lemma 6.1 in [10], we have that

$$\|B_N\Gamma_N^K - P_{K-1}B_N\Gamma_N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \leq C(T,\xi)(\eta^{-1}\xi)^K K\|(B_N\Gamma_N)^{(K)}\|_{L^2_{t\in I}H^1} \tag{4.36}$$

holds for a finite constant $C(T,\xi)$ independent of K, N.

It follows immediately from the definition of V_N that

$$\|\widehat{\nabla V_N}\|_{L^1} \leq CN^{4\beta}.$$

Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(B_N^+\Gamma^N)^{(K)}\|^2_{L^2_{t\in I}H^1}\\
&= \int_I dt \int d\underline{x}_K\, d\underline{x}'_K \Bigg|\sum_{j=1}^\infty\sum_{\ell=1}^K \int \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \langle\nabla_{x_j}\rangle\langle\nabla_{x'_j}\rangle\right] V_N(x_\ell - x_{K+1})\\
&\qquad\qquad \phi_j^{(N)}(t,\underline{x}_N)\overline{\phi_j^{(N)}(t,\underline{x}'_K, x_{K+1},\dots,x_N)}\, dx_{K+1}\dots dx_N\Bigg|^2\\
&\leq \sum_{j,j'=1}^\infty \lambda_j\lambda_{j'} CT(\|V_N\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\widehat{\nabla V_N}\|^2_{L^1})K^2 \sup_{t\in I}\left(\|R^{(k,1)}\phi_j^{(N)}\|_{L^2}\|R^{(k,1)}\phi_{j'}^{(N)}\|_{L^2}\right)^2\\
&= CT(\|V_N\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\widehat{\nabla V_N}\|^2_{L^1})K^2 \sup_{t\in I}\sum_{j,j'=1}^\infty \lambda_j\lambda_{j'}\left(\|R^{(k,1)}\phi_j^{(N)}\|_{L^2}\|R^{(k,1)}\phi_{j'}^{(N)}\|_{L^2}\right)^2\\
&= CTN^{8\beta}K^2 \sup_{t\in I}\left(\operatorname{Tr}(S^{(K,1)}\gamma_N^{(K)})\right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Since $\operatorname{Tr}|S^k\gamma_N^{(k)}(0)| < C^k$ and $\gamma_N^{(N)}(0)$ is positive semidefinite, we have that

$$\gamma_N^{(N)}(0,x,x') = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j\phi_j(x)\overline{\phi_j(x')},$$

where ϕ_j are orthonormal in L^2, and $\lambda_j \geq 0$ satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$. Evolving with the BBGKY hierarchy and applying Corollary 4.7 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(S^{(k,1)}\gamma_N^{(k)}(t)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \|R^{(k)}\phi_j(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j 2(4\|R^k\phi_j(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 36k^4N^{8\beta}t^2) \\ &= 8(\mathrm{Tr}(S^{(k,1)}\gamma_{0,N}^{(k)}) + 36k^4N^{8\beta}t^2) \\ &\leq 2(C^k + 36k^4N^{8\beta}t^2). \qquad (4.38)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.36), (4.37), and (4.38) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\|B_N\Gamma_N^K - P_{\leq K-1}B_N\Gamma^N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}H^1_\xi} \\ &\leq C(T,\xi)(\eta^{-1}\xi)^K K\|(B_N\Gamma_N)^{(K)}\|_{L^2_{t\in I}H^1} && \text{by (4.36)} \\ &\leq C(T,\xi)(\eta^{-1}\xi)^K KCT^{1/2}N^{4\beta}K \sup_{t\in I} \mathrm{Tr}(S^{(K,1)}\gamma_N^{(K)}(t)) && \text{by (4.37)} \\ &\leq C(T,\xi)(\eta^{-1}\xi)^K KCT^{1/2}N^{4\beta}K(C^K + 36K^4N^{8\beta}T^2) && \text{by (4.38)} \\ &\leq \widetilde{C}(T,\xi)(\eta^{-1}\xi)^K K^2N^{4\beta}(C^K + 36K^4N^{8\beta}) \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty\end{aligned}$$

because $K(N) \geq b_0 \log(N)$ and ξ satisfies (4.34). □

4.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.** We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.2. Recall that local existence and uniqueness of Γ follows from [7] and Proposition B.3, so all that remains is to prove positive semidefiniteness of Γ.

To this end, we recall again the solution Γ^K of the GP hierarchy with truncated initial data, $\Gamma^K(t=0) = P_{\leq K}\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1_\xi$. In [8], the authors proved the existence of a solution Γ^K that satisfies the K-truncated GP-hierarchy in integral form,

$$\Gamma^K(t) = U(t)\Gamma^K(0) + i\int_0^t U(t-s)\,B\Gamma^K(s)\,ds \qquad (4.39)$$

where $(\Gamma^K)^{(k)}(t) = 0$ for all $k > K$. Moreover, it is shown in [8] that this solution satisfies $B\Gamma^K \in L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$, where $I := [0,T]$.

Additionally, the following convergence was proved in [8]:

(a) The limit

$$\Gamma := \lim_{K\to\infty} \Gamma^K \qquad (4.40)$$

exists in $L^\infty_t\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$.

(b) The limit

$$\Theta := \lim_{K\to\infty} B\Gamma^K \qquad (4.41)$$

exists in $L^2_t\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$, and in particular,

$$\Theta = B\Gamma\,. \qquad (4.42)$$

(c) The limit Γ in equation (4.40) satisfies the full GP hierarchy with initial data Γ_0.

Clearly, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|B\Gamma - B_N P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\leq \|B\Gamma - B\Gamma^{K(N)}\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} && (4.43) \\
&\quad + \|B\Gamma^{K(N)} - B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)}\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} && (4.44) \\
&\quad + \|B\Gamma_N^{K(N)} - B_N P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} && (4.45)
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.48) $\to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ by

In the limit $N \to \infty$, we have that (4.43) $\to 0$ from (4.41) and (4.42). By Proposition 4.3, (4.44) $\to 0$. (4.45) $\to 0$ follows from Proposition 4.8. This is because $\Gamma_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}$ and hence (4.35) holds. Therefore,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|B\Gamma - B_N\Gamma_N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} = 0.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N - \Gamma\|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\leq \|P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N - \Gamma_N^{K(N)}\|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} && (4.46) \\
&\quad + \|\Gamma^{K(N)} - \Gamma\|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} && (4.47) \\
&\quad + \|\Gamma_N^{K(N)} - \Gamma^{K(N)}|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} && (4.48)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Duhamel formula, and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in time, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.46) &= \|\int_0^t U(t-s)B_N(P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N - \Gamma_N^{K(N)})(s)\,ds\|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\leq T^{1/2}\|B_N\Gamma_N^{K(N)} - B_N P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty \text{ by Proposition 4.8.}
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.47) $\to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ by (4.40). Finally, (4.48) $\to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ follows from proposition 4.3. Thus

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|P_{\leq K(N)}\Gamma_N - \Gamma\|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} = 0,$$

and hence $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\gamma_N^{(k)} - \gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^\infty_{t\in I}H^1} = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Positive semidefiniteness of $\gamma^{(k)}$ now follows from positive semidefiniteness of $\gamma_N^{(k)}$, which was proved in Proposition 4.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

5. Induction Argument

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1 using energy conservation and an induction argument. We recall that, for $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi^\alpha(I) = \{\Gamma \in C(I, \mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha) \,|\, B^+\Gamma, B^-\Gamma \in L^2_{loc}(I, \mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha)\}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that* $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}$ *is positive semidefinite, is admissible, and satisfies* $\operatorname{Tr}\gamma_0^{(1)} = 1$. *Then, for* $0 < \xi' < 1$ *and* ξ_1 *satisfying* (3.1), *there is a unique global solution* $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}^1_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})$ *to the cubic defocusing GP hierarchy* (2.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with initial data* Γ_0. *Moreover,* $\Gamma(t)$ *is positive semidefinite and satisfies*

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_1}} \leq \|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}},$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let I_j be the time interval $[jT, (j+1)T]$, where $0 < T < T_0(\xi_1)$ (see (3.3)) and ξ, ξ_1 satisfy (3.1). By [7] and Proposition B.3, we have that there is a unique solution Γ to the GP hierarchy in $\mathcal{W}^1_\xi(I_0)$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.2, where we used a truncation and limiting procedure, Γ is positive semidefinite on I_0. It follows as in the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [9] that the higher order energy functionals $\langle K^{(m)}\rangle_{\Gamma(t)}$, which are defined in equation (2.26), are conserved on I_0. Thus, as in inequality (7.18) in [9], we have that on I_0,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} &\leq \|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1_\xi} && (5.1)\\
&\leq \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} (2\xi)^m \langle K^{(m)}\rangle_{\Gamma(t)} && (5.2)\\
&\leq \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} (2\xi)^m \langle K^{(m)}\rangle_{\Gamma_0} && (5.3)\\
&\leq \|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}}. && (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that positive semidefiniteness of Γ is needed to pass from (5.1) to (5.2) because the definition of $\|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1_\xi}$ involves taking absolute values, but the definition of $\langle K^{(m)}\rangle_{\Gamma(t)}$ does not.

Therefore $\Gamma(T) \in \mathfrak{H}^1_\xi$, and so by [7] and Proposition B.3, there is a unique solution $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}^1_{\xi_1}(I_1)$ of the GP hierarchy with initial data $\Gamma(T)$. By another application of Theorem 3.2 and energy conservation (5.1) $\sim$ (5.4), Γ is positive semidefinite on I_1 and $\Gamma(2T) \in \mathfrak{H}^1_\xi$. Thus, we can repeat the argument and find that we have a unique solution $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}^1_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover,

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_1}} \leq \|\Gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \leq \|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi'}},$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Appendix A. Conservation of Admissibility for the GP Hierarchy

In this part of the appendix, we prove that the GP hierarchy conserves admisibility. This result has been used in many papers, but we have not found an explicit proof. For the convenience of the reader, we present it here.

Proposition A.1. *Suppose that* $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}$ *is admissible and satisfies* $\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma_0^{(\mathrm{k})} = 1$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*. Then, for* $0 < \xi' < 1$ *and* ξ *satisfying* (3.1)*, the unique solution* $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}^1_{\xi}(I)$ *to the GP hierarchy obtained in* [7] *is admissible for all* $t \in I$*, provided that* $A := \{A^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{W}^1_{\xi}(I)$*, where*

$$A^{(k)}(t, \underline{x}_k; \underline{x}'_k) := -\gamma^{(k)}(t, \underline{x}_k; \underline{x}'_k) + \int \gamma^{(k+1)}(t, \underline{x}_k, x_{k+1}; \underline{x}'_k, x_{k+1})\, dx_{k+1}. \tag{A.1}$$

Proof. We first note that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\int ((\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2})f)(x, x)\, dx = 0. \tag{A.2}$$

Indeed, this follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int ((\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2})f)(x, x)\, dx \\
&= \int\int \delta(x_1 - x_2)(\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2})f(x_1, x_2)\, dx_1\, dx_2 \\
&= \int\int\int\int \delta(x_1 - x_2) e^{iu_1x_1 + iu_2x_2}((u_2)^2 \hat{f}(u_1, u_2) - u_1^2 \hat{f}(u_1, u_2))\, du_1\, du_2\, dx_1\, dx_2 \\
&= \int\int\int e^{ix_1(u_1+u_2)}((u_2)^2 \hat{f}(u_1, u_2) - u_1^2 \hat{f}(u_1, u_2))\, du_1\, du_2\, dx_1 \\
&= \int\int \delta(u_1 + u_2)((u_2)^2 \hat{f}(u_1, u_2) - u_1^2 \hat{f}(u_1, u_2))\, du_1\, du_2 \\
&= \int (u_1^2 - u_1^2)\hat{f}(u_1, -u_1)\, du_1 \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (A.2).

Next, we note that the definition of admissibility implies that $\gamma^{(k)}$ is admissible at time t if and only if

$$A^{(k)}(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k) = 0.$$

Since Γ satisfies the GP hierarchy, we have that

$$i\partial_t A^{(1)}(x_1;x_1')$$

$$= (\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_1'})\gamma^{(1)}(x_1;x_1') \tag{A.3}$$

$$- \kappa_0 \Big[\gamma^{(2)}(x_1,x_1;x_1',x_1) - \gamma^{(2)}(x_1,x_1';x_1',x_1') \Big] \tag{A.4}$$

$$+ \int \Big[\Big((-\Delta_{\underline{x}_2} + \Delta_{\underline{x}_2'})\gamma^{(2)} \Big)(x_1,x_2;x_1',x_2) \tag{A.5}$$

$$+ \kappa_0 \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_2,x_1;x_1',x_2,x_1)$$

$$- \kappa_0 \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_2,x_1';x_1',x_2,x_1')$$

$$+ \kappa_0 \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_2,x_2;x_1',x_2,x_2)$$

$$- \kappa_0 \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_2,x_2;x_1',x_2,x_2) \Big] \, dx_2$$

$$= \int (\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_1'})\gamma^{(2)}(x_1,x_2;x_1',x_2)\, dx_2 \tag{A.6}$$

$$- (\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_1'}) A^{(1)}(x_1;x_1')$$

$$- \kappa_0 \int \Big[\gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_1,x_2;x_1',x_1,x_2) - \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_1',x_2;x_1',x_1',x_2) \Big] \, dx_2 \tag{A.7}$$

$$+ \kappa_0 A^{(2)}(x_1,x_1;x_1',x_1) - \kappa_0 A^{(2)}(x_1,x_1';x_1',x_1')$$

$$+ \int \Big[\Big((-\Delta_{x_1} + \Delta_{x_1'})\gamma^{(2)} \Big)(x_1,x_2;x_1',x_2) \tag{A.8}$$

$$+ \kappa_0 \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_2,x_1;x_1',x_2,x_1)$$

$$- \kappa_0 \gamma^{(3)}(x_1,x_2,x_1';x_1',x_2,x_1') \Big] \, dx_2$$

$$= -(\Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_1'}) A^{(1)}(x_1;x_1'), \tag{A.9}$$

$$+ \kappa_0 A^{(2)}(x_1,x_1;x_1',x_1) - \kappa_0 A^{(2)}(x_1,x_1';x_1',x_1')$$

where (A.1) was used to pass from (A.3) to (A.6) and from (A.4) to (A.7). Moreover, (A.2) and density of $\mathcal{S}$ in H^1 was used to pass from (A.5) to (A.8). Symmetry of $\gamma^{(k)}$ was used to pass to (A.9).

Observe that (A.9) is precisely the right hand side of the first equation in the GP hierarchy. Thus $A^{(1)}$, and similarly $A^{(k)}$ for $k > 1$, satisfies the GP hierarchy. $A(0) = 0$, so by uniquenss of solutions to the GP hierarchy [7], $A = 0$. □

APPENDIX B. CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE GP HIERARCHY

In [7], it is shown that there is a unique soution Γ to the GP hierarchy (2.7) in $\{\Gamma \in L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi \,|\, B^+\Gamma, B^-\Gamma \in L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi\}$. In this part of the appendix, we show that this solution Γ is an element of $C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^1_\xi)$.

Lemma B.1. *If $\gamma^{(k)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dk} \times \mathbb{R}^{dk})$, then*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \| \Big(U^{(k)}(t) - U^{(k)}(0) \Big) \gamma^{(k)} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dk}\times\mathbb{R}^{dk})} = 0.$$

Proof. We recall that $U^{(k)}(t) = e^{-it(-\Delta_{\underline{x}_k}+\Delta_{\underline{x}'_k})}$. Since $-\Delta_{\underline{x}_k}+\Delta_{\underline{x}'_k}$ is a self-adjoint operator, we have from theorem VIII.7 in [35] that $U^{(k)}(t)$ is a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group, and the lemma follows. ☐

Lemma B.2. *If* $\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi(\mathbb{R}^{dk}\times\mathbb{R}^{dk})$, *then*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \| \left(U(t)-U(0)\right)\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi} = 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \left(U(t)-U(0)\right)\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi}\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\xi^k\| \left(U^{(k)}(t)-U^{(k)}(0)\right)S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2}\\
&\to 0 \text{ as } t\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma B.1, the fact that $\|U^{(k)}(t)\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le 1$, and the dominated convergence theorem for series. ☐

Proposition B.3. *The solution* Γ *to the GP hierarchy constructed in* [7] *lies in* $C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^1_\xi)$.

Proof. As proven in [7], the solution Γ satisfies

$$\Gamma \in L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi, \tag{B.1}$$

$$B\Gamma \in L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi, \text{ and} \tag{B.2}$$

$$\Gamma(t) = U(t)\Gamma_0 + i\kappa_0\int_0^t U(t-s)B\Gamma(s)\,ds. \tag{B.3}$$

Thus, in $\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{h\to 0}\Big[\Gamma(t+h)-\Gamma(t)\Big]\\
&=\lim_{h\to 0}\Big[U(t+h)\Gamma_0 + i\kappa_0\int_0^{t+h}U(t+h-s)B\Gamma(s)\,ds\\
&\qquad - U(t)\Gamma_0 - i\kappa_0\int_0^t U(t-s)B\Gamma(s)\,ds\Big]\\
&=\lim_{h\to 0}U(h)\Gamma_0 && \text{(B.4)}\\
&\qquad+\lim_{h\to 0}\left(U(h)-U(0)\right)\underbrace{i\kappa_0\int_0^t U(t-s)B\Gamma(s)\,ds}_{[*]} && \text{(B.5)}\\
&\qquad+\lim_{h\to 0} i\kappa_0\int_t^{t+h}U(t+h-s)B\Gamma(s)\,ds. && \text{(B.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma B.2, (B.4) $= 0$. By (B.1) and (B.3), $[*] \in \mathcal{H}^1_\xi$, so it follows from Lemma B.2 that (B.5) $= 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_t^{t+h} U(t+h-s) B\Gamma(s)\, ds \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\le \int_t^{t+h} \|U(t+h-s)B\Gamma(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_\xi}\, ds \\
&\le \sqrt{h} \|U(t+h-s)B\Gamma(s)\|_{L^2_{s\in[t,t+h]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&= \sqrt{h}\|B\Gamma(s)\|_{L^2_{s\in[t,t+h]}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } h \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

by (B.2), so (B.6) $= 0$. □

Appendix C. Commutator estimates

In this section, we present two commutator estimates used in Subsection 4.4.

Lemma C.1. *Suppose* $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* V *such that* $\widehat{\nabla V} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then,*

$$\| \, [\sqrt{1-\Delta_x}, V(x-y)]\, f(x,y)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \le \|\widehat{\nabla V}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Proof. Let $R(\xi) = \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$|\nabla R(\xi)| \le 1 \qquad \text{and} \tag{C.1}$$

$$R(\xi) \le 1 + |\xi|. \tag{C.2}$$

are clearly satisfied.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&([\sqrt{(1-\Delta_x)}, V(x-y)]\, f(x,y))^\wedge(\xi,\eta) \\
&= (\sqrt{(1-\Delta_x)}V(x-y)f(x,y) - V(x-y)\sqrt{(1-\Delta_x)}f(x,y))^\wedge(\xi,\eta) \\
&= R(\xi)(V(x-y)f(x,y))^\wedge - (V(x-y))^\wedge * (Rf)^\wedge \\
&= \int\int \delta(\nu+\mu)\hat{V}(\mu)(R(\xi)-R(\xi-\mu))\hat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta-\nu)\, d\mu\, d\nu \\
&= \int \hat{V}(\mu)(R(\xi)-R(\xi-\mu))\hat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta+\mu)\, d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

It now follows from (C.1), Minkowski's inequality, and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \, [\sqrt{1-\Delta_x}, V(x-y)]\, f(x,y)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
&\le \| \int |\mu|\hat{V}(\mu)\hat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta+\mu)\, d\mu\|_{L^2_{\xi,\eta}} \\
&\le \| \int |\mu|\hat{V}(\mu)\|\hat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta+\mu)\|_{L^2_\eta}\, d\mu\|_{L^2_\xi} \\
&\le \|\widehat{\nabla V}\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{L^2}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Lemma C.2. *Suppose* $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* V *such that* $\widehat{|\nabla|^m V} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for* $m = 1, 2$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \, [\sqrt{1-\Delta_x}\sqrt{1-\Delta_y}, V(x-y)] \, f(x,y)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
&\leq \| \, \widehat{|\nabla| \, V}\|_{L^1} \| \, |\nabla_y| \, f\|_{L^2} + \| \, \widehat{|\nabla|^2 \, V}\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
&\qquad + \| \, \widehat{|\nabla| \, V}\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^2} + \| \, \widehat{|\nabla| \, V}\|_{L^1} \|\sqrt{1-\Delta_x} f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $R(\xi) = \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}$. Then it follows from (C.1) and (C.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|R(\xi)R(\eta) - R(\xi-\mu)R(\eta-\nu)| \\
&= |(R(\xi) - R(\xi-\mu))R(\eta) + R(\xi-\mu)(R(\eta) - R(\eta-\nu))| \\
&\leq |\mu|R(\eta) + R(\xi-\mu)|\nu| \\
&\leq |\mu|(|\eta|+1) + R(\xi-\mu)|\nu| \\
&\leq |\mu|(|\eta-\nu| + |\nu| + 1) + R(\xi-\mu)|\nu| \\
&= |\mu||\eta-\nu| + |\mu||\nu| + |\mu| + R(\xi-\mu)|\nu|.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big([\sqrt{1-\Delta_x}\sqrt{1-\Delta_y}, V(x-y)]f(x,y)\Big)^{\wedge}(\xi,\eta) \\
&= R(\xi)R(\eta)(V(x-y)f(x,y))^{\wedge}(\xi,\eta) - (\widehat{V}(x-y) * (\sqrt{1-\Delta_x}\sqrt{1-\Delta_y} f(x,y))^{\wedge})(\xi,\eta) \\
&= \int\int \delta(\nu+\mu)\hat{V}(\mu)(R(\xi)R(\eta) - R(\xi-\mu)R(\eta-\nu))\widehat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta-\nu)\, d\mu\, d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Minkowski's inequality and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[\sqrt{1-\Delta_x}\sqrt{1-\Delta_y}, V(x-y)]f(x,y)\|_{L^2_{x,y}} \\
&\leq \Big\| \int\int \delta(\nu+\mu)\hat{V}(\mu)(|\mu||\eta-\nu| + |\mu||\nu| + |\mu| + R(\xi-\mu)|\nu|) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \widehat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta-\nu)\, d\mu\, d\nu \Big\|_{L^2_{\xi,\eta}} \\
&\leq \Big\| \int \hat{V}(\mu)(|\mu||\eta+\mu| + |\mu|^2 + |\mu| + R(\xi-\mu)|\mu|) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \widehat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta+\mu)\, d\mu \Big\|_{L^2_{\xi,\eta}} \\
&\leq \Big\| \int \hat{V}(\mu)(|\mu||\eta+\mu| + |\mu|^2 + |\mu| + R(\xi-\mu)|\mu|) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \|\widehat{f}(\xi-\mu,\eta+\mu)\|_{L^2_\eta}\, d\mu \Big\|_{L^2_\xi} \\
&\leq \| \, \widehat{|\nabla| \, V}\|_{L^1} \| \, |\nabla_y| \, f\|_{L^2} + \| \, \widehat{|\nabla|^2 \, V}\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
&\qquad + \| \, \widehat{|\nabla| \, V}\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^2} + \| \, \widehat{|\nabla| \, V}\|_{L^1} \|\sqrt{1-\Delta_x} f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

APPENDIX D. ITERATED DUHAMEL FORMULA AND BOARDGAME ARGUMENT

In this part of the appendix, we recall a technical result from [10] that is used in parts of this paper. It corresponds to Lemma B.3 in [10].

Let $\Xi = (\Xi^{(k)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ denote a sequence of functions $\Xi^{(k)} \in L^2_{t\in[0,T]}H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3k}\times\mathbb{R}^{3k})$, for $T>0$. Then, we define the associated sequence $\mathrm{Duh}_j(\Xi)$ of *j-th level iterated Duhamel terms* based on B_N^{main} (see Section 2.2 for notations), with components given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Duh}_j(\Xi)^{(k)}(t) \\ &:= \quad i^j \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{j-1}} dt_j B^{main}_{N;k+1} e^{i(t-t_1)\Delta_\pm^{(k+1)}} B^{main}_{N;k+2} e^{i(t_1-t_2)\Delta_\pm^{(k+2)}} \\ &\qquad B^{main}_{N;k+2} \cdots\cdots e^{i(t_{j-1}-t_j)\Delta_\pm^{(k+j)}} (\,\Xi\,)^{(k+j)}(t_j)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

with the conventions $t_0 := t$, and

$$\mathrm{Duh}_0(\Xi)^{(k)}(t) \,:=\, (\,\Xi\,)^{(k)}(t) \tag{D.2}$$

for $j=0$. Using the boardgame estimates of [30], one obtains:

Lemma D.1. *For $\Xi = (\Xi^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ as above,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\,\mathrm{Duh}_j(\Xi)^{(k)}(t)\,\|_{L^2_{t\in I}H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3k}\times\mathbb{R}^{3k})} \\ &\qquad \leq\, k\, C_0^k\,(c_0T)^{\frac{j}{2}}\|\Xi^{(k+j)}\|_{L^2_{t\in I}H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3(k+j)}\times\mathbb{R}^{3(k+j)})}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

where the constants c_0, C_0 depend only on d, p.

Lemma D.1 is used for the proof of the next result (by suitably exploiting the splitting $B_N = B_N^{main} + B_N^{error}$), which corresponds to Lemma B.3 in [10].

Lemma D.2. *Let $\delta' > 0$ be defined by*

$$\beta \quad = \quad \frac{1-\delta'}{4}\,. \tag{D.4}$$

Assume that N is sufficiently large that the condition

$$K \quad < \quad \frac{\delta'}{\log C_0}\,\log N\,, \tag{D.5}$$

holds, where the constant C_0 is as in Lemma D.1.

Assume that $\Xi_N^K \in L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}$ for some $0<\xi'<1$, and that ξ is small enough that $0<\xi<\eta\xi'$, with

$$\eta \,<\, (\max\{1, C_0\})^{-1}\,. \tag{D.6}$$

Let Θ_N^K and Ξ_N^K satisfy the integral equation

$$\Theta_N^K(t) \,=\, \Xi_N^K(t) \,+\, i\int_0^t B_N\,U(t-s)\,\Theta_N^K(s)ds \tag{D.7}$$

The superscript "K" in Θ_N^K and Ξ_N^K means that only the first K components are nonzero, and $B_N = B_N^{main} + B_N^{error}$.

Then, the estimate

$$\|\Theta_N^K\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_\xi} \,\leq\, C_1(T,\xi,\xi')\,\|\Xi_N^K\|_{L^2_{t\in I}\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi'}} \tag{D.8}$$

holds for a finite constant $C_1(T,\xi,\xi')>0$ independent of K, N.

Acknowledgements. The work of T.C. was supported by NSF grants DMS-1009448 and DMS-1151414 (CAREER).

References

[1] R. Adami, G. Golse, A. Teta, *Rigorous derivation of the cubic NLS in dimension one*, J. Stat. Phys. **127**, no. 6, 1194–1220 (2007).

[2] M. Aizenman, E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J.P. Solovej, J. Yngvason *Bose-Einstein Quantum Phase Transition in an Optical Lattice Model*, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 023612 (2004).

[3] I. Anapolitanos, I.M. Sigal, *The Hartree-von Neumann limit of many body dynamics*, Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4514.

[4] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant lecture notes **10**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2003).

[5] L. Chen, J.O. Lee, B. Schlein, *Rate of convergence towards Hartree dynamics*, J. Stat. Phys. **144** (4), 872903 (2011).

[6] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, *The quintic NLS as the mean field limit of a Boson gas with three-body interactions*, J. Funct. Anal., **260** (4), 959-997, 2011.

[7] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, *On the Cauchy problem for focusing and defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies*, Discr. Contin. Dyn. Syst., **27** (2), 715 - 739, 2010.

[8] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, *A new proof of existence of solutions for focusing and defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **141**, 279-293, 2013.

[9] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, *Higher Order Energy Conservation and Global Well-Posedness of Solutions for the Gross-Pitaevskii Hierarchies,* Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.2984.

[10] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, *Derivation of the Cublic NLS and Gross-Pitaevskii Hierarchy from Manybody Dynamics in $d = 2, 3$ Based on Spacetime Norms,* Ann. H. Poincaré, online first (2013).

[11] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, N. Tzirakis, *Energy conservation and blowup of solutions for focusing GP hierarchies*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare (C) Anal. Non-Lin., **27** (5), 1271-1290, 2010.

[12] T. Chen, N. Pavlović, N. Tzirakis, *Multilinear Morawetz identities for the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy*, Contemp. Math., **581**, 39 - 62, 2012.

[13] X. Chen, *Second order corrections to mean field evolution for weakly interacting bosons in the case of 3-body interactions*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **203** (2), 455–497 (2012).

[14] X. Chen, *Collapsing estimates and the rigorous derivation of the 2D cubic nonlinear Schrodinger equation with anisotropic switchable quadratic traps*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 98, no. 4, 450–478 (2012).

[15] X. Chen, *On the Rigorous Derivation of the 3D Cubic Nonlinear Schrdinger Equation with A Quadratic Trap*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., to appear. http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.0125

[16] X. Chen, J. Holmer, *On the Klainerman-Machedon Conjecture of the Quantum BBGKY Hierarchy with Self-interaction,* Preprint arXiv:1303.5385.

[17] A. Elgart, L. Erdös, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau, *Gross-Pitaevskii equation as the mean field limit of weakly coupled bosons*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **179**, no. 2, 265–283 (2006).

[18] L. Erdös, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau, *Derivation of the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation from quantum dynamics of many-body systems*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), 515–614.

[19] L. Erdös, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau, *Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (12), 1659–1741 (2006).

[20] L. Erdös, H.-T. Yau, *Derivation of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation from a many body Coulomb system*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5**, no. 6, 1169–1205 (2001).

[21] J. Fröhlich, S. Graffi, S. Schwarz, *Mean-field- and classical limit of many-body Schrödinger dynamics for bosons*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271**, no. 3, 681–697 (2007).

[22] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles, A. Pizzo, *Atomism and quantization*, J. Phys. A **40**, no. 12, 3033–3045 (2007).

[23] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles, S. Schwarz *On the Mean-Field Limit of Bosons with Coulomb Two-Body Interaction*, Comm. Math. Phys. **288** (3), 10231059 (2009).

[24] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon, Pair excitations and the mean field approximation of interacting Bosons, I Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3763.

[25] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon, A. Margetis, *D. Second-order corrections to mean field evolution of weakly interacting bosons II*, Adv. Math. **228** (3), 17881815 (2011).

[26] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon, A. Margetis, *Second-order corrections to mean field evolution for weakly interacting Bosons. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **294** (1), 273301 (2010).

[27] M. Grillakis, A. Margetis, *A priori estimates for many-body Hamiltonian evolution of interacting boson system*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **5** (4), 857–883 (2008).

[28] K. Hepp, *The classical limit for quantum mechanical correlation functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **35**, 265–277 (1974).

[29] K. Kirkpatrick, B. Schlein, G. Staffilani, *Derivation of the two dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation from many body quantum dynamics*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (1), 91130 (2011).

[30] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon, *On the uniqueness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **279**, no. 1, 169–185 (2008).

[31] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J.P. Solovej, J. Yngvason, *The mathematics of the Bose gas and its condensation*, Birkhäuser (2005).

[32] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, *Proof of Bose-Einstein condensation for dilute trapped gases*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 170409 (2002).

[33] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. Yngvason, *A rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional for a two-dimensional Bose gas*, Commun. Math. Phys. **224** (2001).

[34] P. Pickl, *A simple derivation of mean field limits for quantum systems*, Lett. Math. Phys., **97** (2), 151 – 164 (2011).

[35] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Volume I,* Academic Press Inc. 400pp.

[36] I. Rodnianski, B. Schlein, *Quantum fluctuations and rate of convergence towards mean field dynamics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **291** (1), 31–61(2009).

[37] B. Schlein, *Derivation of Effective Evolution Equations from Microscopic Quantum Dynamics*, Lecture notes for the minicourse held at the 2008 CMI Summer School in Zurich.

[38] H. Spohn, *Kinetic Equations from Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **52**, no. 3, 569–615 (1980).

[39] T. Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations. Local and global analysis*, CBMS 106, eds: AMS, 2006.

K. Taliaferro, Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin.
E-mail address: `ktaliaferro@math.utexas.edu`

T. Chen, Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin.
E-mail address: `tc@math.utexas.edu`